

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/780,547	CRIDER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MAIKHANH NGUYEN	2176	

All Participants:

(1) MaiKhanh Nguyen.

(2) Maurice J. Piro (Reg. No.: 33,273).

Date of Interview: 2 August 2010

Status of Application: now allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-24 and 40-47

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorized the Examiner to amend the Claims as presented in the Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/MaiKhanh Nguyen/
Examiner, Art Unit 2176

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)